Form PTO 1449		U.S. DEPARTMENT	OF COMMERCE	ATTY DOCKET NO.		SERIAL NO.				
(Modified)		PATENT AND TRAD	EMARK OFFICE	218063US25CONT		09/683	582			
				APPLICANT						
LIST OF	REFER	RENCES CITED BY APP	PLICANT	Danny L. BEASLEY, et al.						
				RCE FILING DATE		GROUP				
				HEREWITH	<u>ــــــــــ</u>					
				U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS			•			
EXAMINER INITIAL		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUB CLASS		LING DATE PPROPRIATE		
-5	AA	5,557,302	09/17/1996	Levinthal et al.)				
5	AB	5,229,850	07/20/1993	Toyoshima		\				
	AC	4,736,250	04/05/1998	Blazo		- 62				
	AD	4,677,488	06/30/1997	Zato		- F	EC	EIVED		
1	AE	4,633,297	12/30/1986	Skerlos et al.	_		IAV 1	0 2005		
5	AF	5,732,212	03/24/1998	Perholtz et al.			1/41 	6 2005		
	AG					0EC	CE OF	PETITIONS		
	AH	,			<u> </u>	200	VĽ V r	LEHHOMA		
	Al									
	AJ									
	AK				<u> </u>					
	AL									
	AM									
	AN									
	AIT .		EO.	REIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS	L					
					·	<u> </u>				
		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	COUNTRY		YE	TRANSI S	ATION NO		
	AO									
	AP									
	AQ									
	AR									
	AS									
	AT									
	AU		•							
	AV									
		OTHER RE	FERENCES (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent	Pages, e	tc.)				
5	AW	Procomm Plus User Ma	anual, Datasto	rm Technologies, Inc., pp. 1-51 and 116-	117, Janu	ary 1991.	'			
2	AX	Net-911, Key-View, Fo	x Network Sys	tems, Inc., 2 page brochure, 1994.						
	AY			,						
	AZ	,			<u></u>	Kanal Def				
		<u> </u>						sheet(s) attached		
Examiner	, <u> </u>	p. 91~			L	nsidered	1100	105		
*Examiner: in conformance	tial if re and no	eference is considered, of considered. Include co	whether or not py of this form	citation is in conformance with MPEP 60 with next communication to applicant.	9; Draw li	ne through	citation	if not in		

LIST OF RELATED CASES

Docket Number	Serial or Patent Number	Filing or Issue Date	Patent Appl. Publication No.	Inventor/ <u>Applicant</u>
PER CLIENT	5,732,212	03/24/98		PERHOLTZ et al.
PER CLIENT	10/032,325	12/31/01	2002/0091850	PERHOLTZ 9/4/6T
PER CLIENT	09/228,747	01/12/99	ABN	PERHOLTZ et al.
218063US25 CONT*	09/683,582	01/22/02		BEASLEY, et al.

Form PTO 1449 (Modified)		U.S. DEPARTMENT (OF COMMERCE EMARK OFFICE	ATTY DOCKET NO.	SERIAL NO. 09/683,582				
		OF							
	Derec	(C)	OL LOADE	APPLICANT Depoy L REASLEY et al.					
LISTOF	KEFE	NIN 29 WILL	PLICAIVI	Danny L. BEASLEY, et al.					
	- ("IN 28 PR 2		FILING DATE		GROUP			
				January 22, 2002		2153 1	2152		
		TRACEMENT		U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS					
EXAMINER INITIAL		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUB CLASS			
	AA								
	AB								
	AC								
	AD	·					_		
	AE								
	AF								
	AG				ļ				
	AH						_		
	Al								
	AJ								
	AK								
	AL				ļ				
	AM			- 124	ļ				
	AN				<u> </u>				
			FO	REIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS					
		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	COUNTRY	TRANSLATION YES NO				
	AO								
	AP								
	AQ								
	AR								
	AS								
	AT								
	AU					_			
	AV								
		•		ncluding Author, Title, Date, Pertinent				·	
5	AW	Memorandum and Ordo United States District C	er on Markmai ourt, Southern	n Issues, Avocent Redmond Corp. (former District of New York, Case no. 01 Civ. 4	erly Apex I 1435 (PKC	nc.) v. Rari), ordered l	tan Comp March 11,	outer, Inc., , 2005.	
	AX								
	AY								
	AZ				Addi	tional Refe	rences sh	neet(s) attached	
Examiner		D. DIM			Date Con		9/20	./03	
*Examiner: In conformance	itial if re and no	eference is considered, v t considered. Include co	whether or not py of this form	citation is in conformance with MPEP 60 with next communication to applicant.)9; Draw lir	ne through	citation if	not in	

FORM PT	O-144	19 (modifie	d) /	4.	Attorney l	Docke	t N	0.:	25	40-0	617					
To: U.S.	Deno	etmant of C	AU6 2 6	2006 =	Applicant	. [Dan	ny L	. B	EAS	SLEY, e	t a	ıl.			_
Pate	nt and	d Trademark	Office		Appln. S.N	V.: 0	9/6	583,5	82							
			TRADE	MARKO	Filing Dat	e: J	anı	агу :	22,	200	2					_
Informati	on Di	sclosure St	atement by	Applicant	Examiner	: I)IN	īH, I) un	g C	•					_
		·	Appln. S.N.: 09/683,582 Filing Date: January 22, 2002 Examiner: DINH, Dung C. Group Art Unit: 2152 Page 1 of 3 U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS Coument umber			_										
Date: Augus	st 26, 2	005	Page 1 of 3													-
			U.S	PATENT I	DOCUMENT:	S			•		. /	<u>:-</u>				
Examiner's Initials		Document Number	MM/YYY			Clas	s	Sub	CI	ass	1		~			
5/	AR	5,499,377	03/1996	Lee	_		-		_					•		
as .	BR	5,166,674	11/1992	Baum et al.					_							
	CR	5,673,087	09/1997	Choi et al.		_	=	_								_
	DR	4,893,175	01/1990	Fukada		_	_									_
	ER	5,257,390	10/1993	Asprey		_	_		_					_		
	FR	5,566,339	10/1996	Perholtz et a	1.		_		_		1			_		_
	GR	4,962,427	10/1990	Lunn et al.						<u>.</u>	 					_
	HR	.,,										_	*			_
	IR										-				_	-
	JR	`	 			 	\dashv								_	_
	KR					 					-	_			—	_
	LR					 										_
·	MR					 					 					_
				<u> </u>	·	├					ļ		.		_	_
	NR			l Albert Barrelli							T		e D			_
	, F(OREIGN PA	TENT DOCI	JMENTS		Eng	glis	h Ab	stra	ct					цу	
		Document Number		Country		Enc	clos	ed	ľ	No	Enc	clo	sed.	1	۷o	
	OR													\prod		_
	PR								֪֪֪֪֡֡֞֞֞֞֡֡֞֞֞֓֓֓֓֓֓֡֡֡֞֓֓֡֡֡֡֡֞֞֡֡֡֞֜֞֡֡֡֡֡֡֡֡֡֡	<u>_</u> _	ļ			Ц	_	
	QR		<u>-</u>				ᆜ		ļļ	4-	ļ	닕		Ļļ	ᆗ	
	RR SR						₩	·		╬	 	님		┝╌	╡	_
	TR		·-···				Н		╁	╡╌	-	片		-	╡	_
 	UR						Ħ		╁╌	╡╌	-	Ħ		H	╡	
	VR						Ħ		Ħ	_		Ħ		H	뒥	_
	WR								ΙĪ	<u> </u>			-	Π	⋾	_
	XR															
	OT				tle, Periodical								1	•	· .	,
	YR				Computing, Se						1					
	ZR				Software Manu		91,	page	s I-	29.						_
	AAR				chure, pages 1-2										—	_
2	BBR CCR				988, pages i-20. I Host Adapter		t In	form	atio	n 2 .	nages				_	_
Examiner:	CCK	D. P.W			Considered:	Juuc		i/u			pages.					_
*EXAMINER		al if citation con	sidered, whether	or not citation	is in conformance		ИPE	P § 6	09.		w line thr	ou	gh citatio	on i	<u>·</u> it	_
not in conform	ance an	d not considered	. Include copy of	of this form with	h next communica	ation to	Apı	plican	t.							

FORM PTO-1449 (modified) Attorney Docket No.: 2540-0617 AUG 2 6 20015 Danny L. BEASLEY, et al. Applicant: U.S. Department of Comperce To: Appln. S.N.: 09/683,582 Patent and Trademark Office MADEM Filing Date: January 22, 2002 Information Disclosure Statement by Applicant Examiner: DINH, Dung C. Group Art Unit: 2152 Page 2 of 3 Date: August 26, 2005 OTHER (Including in this order Author, Title, Periodical Name, Pertinent Pages, etc.) PolYCon Product Brochure (in Japanese), 4 pages. **EER** MultYCon Product Brochure, 4 pages. Sumisho Electronics Co., Ltd. PolYCon Product Brochure with Price List dated June 1998 (in **FFR** Japanese), 6 pages. Aquarium Computer blue grass micro internet server Product Brochure (in Japanese), 2 pages. GGR ELSNER Technologies Company MultYCon NetPC Product Brochure, 1 page. HHR ELSNER Technologies Company letter to Raritan Computer Inc. regarding PolYCon Console IIR Management System, May 29, 1998, 3 pages. ELSNER Technologies Company MultYCon PolYCon Console Switching and Management System JJR Ordering Catalog, February 1996, 20 pages. ELSNER Technologies Company MultYCon Price List for MultYCon Console Switching Products. KKR September 1996, 3 pages. ELSNER Technologies Company MultYCon Console Switching and Management System Product LLR Brochure, 2 pages. MMR PolYCon Data Systems PolYCon Management System, 4 pages. Intelligent Network Management System for 1,024 PCs, PolYCon Data Systems Press Release, 3 **NNR** Intelligent Network Management System for 1,024 PCs, PolYCon Data Systems Press Release, 3 OOR ELSNER Technologies Company PolYCon Products Brochure, 29 pages. PPR. ELSNER Technologies Company MultYCon Console Switching System and Extension Products QQR Catalog 97/98, c1997, 27 pages. Declaration of Christopher L. Thomas in support of Cybex's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment of RRR Noninfringement (Cybex Director and 4XP Products), Apex PC Solutions, Inc. v. Cybex Computer Products Corp. and Apex PC Solutions, Inc. v. Rose Electronics, Case nos. C98-246Z and C98-245Z. United States District Court Western District of Washington at Seattle, January 12, 1999. Cybex Computer Products Corporation Director Installer/User Guide, 1st Edition, November 1996. SSR TTR Cybex Computer Products Corporation 4xP & 1xP KVM Switches Guide to Applications, c1996. Declaration of Christopher L. Thomas in support of Cybex's Motion for Summary Judgment of UUR Invalidity (Prior Art), Apex PC Solutions, Inc. v. Cybex Computer Products Corp. and Apex PC Solutions, Inc. v. Rose Electronics, Case nos. C98-246Z and C98-245Z, United States District Court Western District of Washington at Seattle, January 12, 1999. Cybex's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment of Invalidity (Anticipation of Claims 1 and 2), Apex VVR PC Solutions, Inc. v. Cybex Computer Products Corp. and Apex PC Solutions, Inc. v. Rose Electronics, Case nos. C98-246Z and C98-245Z, United States District Court Western District of Washington at Seattle, January 21, 1999. Cybex's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment of Invalidity (Obviousness of Claims 1, 2 and 8-17), WWR Apex PC Solutions, Inc. v. Cybex Computer Products Corp. and Apex PC Solutions, Inc. v. Rose Electronics, Case nos. C98-246Z and C98-245Z, United States District Court Western District of Washington at Seattle, January 21, 1999. PLOWA Date Considered: 9/4 Examiner: *EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP § 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to Applicant.

FORM PT	ΓΟ-1449	9 (modified)	Attorney Docket No.: 2540-0617					
To: IIC	Donos	thent of Compares	Applicant: Danny L. BEASLEY, et al.					
To: U.S	ent and	rtment of Compaerce Trademark Office TRADE	Appln. S.N.: 09/683,582					
			Filing Date: January 22, 2002					
Informat	ion Dis	sclosure Statement by Applicant	Examiner: DINH, Dung C.					
			Group Art Unit: 2152					
Date: Augu	ıst 26, 20	Page 3 of 3						
•	ОТН	IER (Including in this order Author, Titl	e, Periodical Name, Pertinent Pages, etc.)					
(XXR	Defendant Raritan Computer, Inc.'s Respo	onse to Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories, Apex, Inc. v.					
		Raritan Computer, Inc., Civil Action No.	01-CV-4435 (BJS)(DFE), United States District Court					
		Southern District of New York, September						
0	YYR		Supplemental Response to Plaintiff's First Set of					
_			puter, Inc., Civil Action No. 01-CV-4435 (BJS)(DFE),					
·		United States District Court Southern Dist						
)	ZZR		nd Supplemental Response to Plaintiff's First Set of					
\rightarrow			puter, Inc., Civil Action No. 01-CV-4435 (MP), United					
		States District Court Southern District of I						
_	ABR		onse to Plaintiff's Second Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 9-12),					
₹.			Action No. 01-CV-4435 (BJS)(DFE), United States District					
		Court Southern District of New York, Oct						
	ACR	Defendant Raritan Computer, Inc.'s Suppl	emental Response to Plaintiff's Second Set of Interrogatories					
S			er, Inc., Civil Action No. 01 CV 4435 (MP), United States					
		District Court Southern District of New Y	ork, December 12, 2001.					
,	ADR	Defendant Raritan Computer, Inc.'s Secon	nd Supplemental Response to Plaintiff's Second Set of					
S			nond Corp. v. Raritan Computer, Inc., Case No. 1:01-cv-					
			t Southern District of New York, April 26, 2005.					
	AER		Nair Regarding United States Patent Nos. 5,884,096 &					
1			nd Corp. v. Raritan Computer, Inc., Case No. 1:01-cv-04435-					
<i>∞</i> ∪ .		PKC, United States District Court Souther						
	AFR	Expert Report of Sharad Malik, Ph.D. (Re	garding Noninfringement and Invalidity of Beasley et al.					
\rightarrow		U.S. Patent Numbers 5,884,096; 5,937,17	6 and 6,112,264), Apex Inc. v. Raritan Computer, Inc., Civ.					
			rict Court Southern District of New York, January 13, 2002.					
	AGR	Defendant Raritan Computer, Inc.'s Amer	nded Statement According to 35 U.S.C. § 282, Avocent					
\rightarrow	Ì		er, Inc., Civ. No. 01-CV-4435 (PKC), United States District					
		Court for the Southern District of New Yo						
4	AHR		aritan Computer, Inc., Case no. 01 CIV. 4435 (MP), United					
		States District Court Southern District of	New York, repruary 25, 2002.					
$ \mathcal{A} $	AIR		Conclusions of Law, Apex Inc. v. Raritan Computer, Inc., Civ.					
			trict Court Southern District of New York, February 6, 2002.					
J.	AJR		, Inc., Case no. 01 CIV. 4435 (MP), United States District					
/	AVE	Court Southern District of New York, Feb	new Inc. v. Pavisas Commutas Inc. Appeal No. 02 1202					
J	AKR	Brief for Plaintiff-Appellant Apex Inc., Af	pex Inc. v. Raritan Computer, Inc., Appeal No. 02-1303,					
	ALD	United States Court of Appeals for the Fe	ucrai Circuit, Julie J, 2002.					
	ALR							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	AMR							
	ANR							
	AOR							
	APR		10.10					
Examiner	;	Date Date	Considered: 9/21/61					
			in the state of th					

*EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP § 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to Applicant.

SEP 1 5 2005 W

	<u>\\$</u>			<i>E</i> /						
	13	O'S FRAN		9	A	pplication	number	09/683,	582	
201				(1:E. 4)		First na inven		Danny	L. BEASL	EY
FOF	KM P	10-1	449	(modified)				2152		
								January	22, 2002	
				closure		Exami	ner	DINH,	Dung C.	
Sta	atem	ent b	у А	pplicant	A	ttorney Do	ocket No	2540-0	617	
						Confirm Numb	ation	4130		
Sheet	1	-	of	4	1-	Date		Sentem	ber 15, 20	005
Jineot .	1 *			U.S. PA						
<u> </u>	r	·.		ument Number	TENI	Publicat			Patentee or	
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.			ber-Kind Code			-YYYY		cited docum	
	AR	US-		5,309,564.		05-03	-1994	В	RADLEY et	al.
0	BR	US-		6,519,540		02-11	-2003		SALANDR	0
	CR	US-								
	DR	US-								
	ER	US-								
	FR	US-								
	GR	US-								
	HR	US-								
	IR	US-								
	JR	US-								
	KR	US-								
	LR	US-								
	MR	US-								
HER.			<u> </u>	FOREIGN	PATE	NT DOCU	MENTS		incompany and a	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Fo	reign P	atent Document			Nome of F		English	_
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.	Coun	try Code	-Number-Kind Code		cation Date DD-YYYY	Applican	atentee or it of cited iment	Abstract Enclosed	English Translation Enclosed
	NR									
	OR			·						
	PR								├ 	
	QR	ļ							 	- - - - - - - - - - - - - -
	SR TR	 							-	
	1 17	<u> </u>			_		l		<u> </u>	

Examiner
Signature

D. OWN

Date Considered

Place

Place

Disconting the considered of the left of the considered of the left of the considered of the cons

	SEP 1 5 2005 W
7	TOWN FRABBANCE

	TO THE OWNER OF THE OWNER	FRABEN		Application number	09/683,582		
			/ 1'C 1)	Danny L. BEASLEY			
FOI	RM PTO	-1449	(modified)	Art Unit	2152		
				Filing Date	January 22, 2002		
••••	. •		sclosure	Examiner	DINH, Dung C.		
Sta	atemen	t by A	pplicant	Attorney Docket No	2540-0617		
				Confirmation Number	4130		
Sheet	2	of	4	Date	September 15, 2005		

47, 11, 5	10 0 0 2 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Non-Patent Liter	ATURE DOCUME	NTS 41-24-11	grander.				
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.	Include name of the author (in CAI appropriate), title of the item (book, m etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue nur	PITAL LETTERS), title agazine, journal, seri	of the article (when al, symposium, catalog,	English Translation Enclosed				
15	UR	Raritan Computer Inc. Dominion KX Digital KVM Switch: KVM Control via Web Browser Product Brochure, c2005, 4 pages.							
<i>\rightarrow</i>	VR	Fox Network Systems, Inc. Net-911 K Revision 3.3, July 7, 1994.	Fox Network Systems, Inc. Net-911 Key-View System User's Reference Manual, Revision 3.3, July 7, 1994.						
55	WR	Decision, Apex Inc. v. Raritan Compu Court of Appeals for the Federal Circu 6321; 66 U.S.P.Q.2D (BNA) 1444, A	uit, 325 F.3d 1364; 2	003 U.S. App. LEXIS					
کی	XR	Decision, Apex Inc. v. Raritan Computer, Inc., Case no. 01 CIV. 4435 (MP), United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, 187 F. Supp. 2d 141; 2002 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 3466, February 25, 2002, 32 pages.							
o/	YR	5,721,842; Avocent Redmond Corp. f	Table comparing Claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,345,323 with U.S. Patent No. 5,721,842; Avocent Redmond Corp. formerly known as APEX, Inc. v. Raritan Computer, Inc., Case no. 1:01-cv-04435 (PKC), 1 page, (prepared by Raritan).						
<i>S</i>	ZR	Amended Answer, Affirmative Defen F, H, I and M, Avovent Redmond Cor Computer, Inc., Case no. 1:01-cv-044 Southern District of New York, filed I	rp. formerly known a 35-PKC, United Stat	is Apex, Inc. v. Raritan	-				
S	AAR	Reply to Opposition to Petition to Wa Application Serial No. 08/969,723 on becoming a sister company to Apex (1	Reply to Opposition to Petition to Waive the Rules and Protest filed in U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 08/969,723 on February 24, 1999 (by Cybex prior to becoming a sister company to Apex (now Avocent Redmond)), 8 pages.						
Ü	Memorandum in Support of Defendant Raritan Computer, Inc.'s Motion to Re- Open Discovery and Modify the Scheduling Order in this Case, Avocent Redmond Corp. v. Raritan Computer, Inc., Case no. 1:01-cv-04435-PKC, United States District Court Southern District of New York, filed March 22, 2005.								
Examiner Signature		D. DIM	Date Considered	912100					

^{*} Examiner: Initial reference if considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP § 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Please include copy of this form with next communication to Applicant.

OIPE WORK
SEP 1 5 2005 g
A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH

	188			Application number	09/683,582
70	TRATE THAT			First named inventor	Danny L. BEASLEY
FOI	KM PTO-	1449	(modified)	Art Unit	2152
		•		Filing Date	January 22, 2002
****			sclosure	Examiner	DINH, Dung C.
Sta	atement	Dy A	pplicant	Attorney Docket No	2540-0617
				Confirmation Number	4130
Sheet	3	of	4	Date	September 15, 2005

Examiner Initials*	Cite No.	NON-PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	English Translation Enclosed				
5	CCR	Avocent Redmond's Supplemental Responses to Raritan's First Set of Interrogatories, Avocent Redmond Corp. v. Raritan Computer, Inc., Case no. 1:01-cv-04435-PKC, United States District Court Southern District of New York, filed March 14, 2005.	<u> </u>				
5	DDR	Avocent Redmond's Supplemental Responses to Raritan's Second Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 20-22), Avocent Redmond Corp. v. Raritan Computer, Inc., Case no. 1:01-cv-04435-PKC, United States District Court Southern District of New York, filed March 14, 2005.					
Z	EER	Avocent Redmond's Supplemental Responses to Raritan's Second Set of Post-Remand Interrogatories (Nos. 15R-17R), Avocent Redmond Corp. v. Raritan Computer, Inc., Case no. 1:01-cv-04435-PKC, United States District Court Southern District of New York, filed March 14, 2005.					
5	FFR	Avocent Redmond's Response to Raritan's Third Set of Post-Remand Interrogatories (No. 18R) [SIC: Nos. 18R-19R], Avocent Redmond Corp. v. Raritan Computer, Inc., Case no. 1:01-cv-04435-PKC, United States District Court Southern District of New York, filed March 14, 2005.					
کھی	GGR	Avocent Redmond's Response to Raritan's Second Set of Post-Remand Requests for Production of Documents and Things (Nos. 30R-33R) [SIC: Nos. 30R-31R], Avocent Redmond Corp. v. Raritan Computer, Inc., Case no. 1:01-cv-04435-PKC, United States District Court Southern District of New York, filed March 14, 2005.					
1	HHR	Videotape 30(b)(6) Deposition Transcript upon Oral Examination of Danny Beasley (Volume 1), Apex PC Solutions, Inc. v. Cybex Computer Products and Rose Electronics, Case nos. C98-246Z and C98-245Z, United States District Court for the Western District of Washington at Seattle, December 9, 1998. See e.g., pages 1-8, 232-234 cited by Raritan.					
X	IIR	Videotape 30(b)(6) Deposition Transcript upon Oral Examination of Danny Beasley (Volume 2), Apex PC Solutions, Inc. v. Cybex Computer Products and Rose Electronics, Case nos. C98-246Z and C98-245Z, United States District Court for the Western District of Washington at Seattle, December 10, 1998. See e.g., pages 384 and 581-607 cited by Raritan.					
Examiner Signature	-	Date Considered 9/2/05					

^{*} Examiner: Initial reference if considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP § 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Please include copy of this form with next communication to Applicant.



TRANSPORT				Application number	09/683,582
FORM PTO-1449 (modified)				First named inventor	Danny L. BEASLEY
				Art Unit	2152
				Filing Date	January 22, 2002
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		sclosure	Examiner	DINH, Dung C.
St	atemen	t by A	pplicant	Attorney Docket No	2540-0617
				Confirmation Number	4130
Sheet	4	of	4	Date	September 15, 2005

		And the second of the second o	
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	English Translation Enclosed
1	JJR	Videotape 30(b)(6) Deposition Transcript upon Oral Examination of Danny Beasley (Volume 3), Apex PC Solutions, Inc. v. Cybex Computer Products and Rose Electronics, Case nos. C98-246Z and C98-245Z, United States District Court for the Western District of Washington at Seattle, December 11, 1998.	
5	KKR	Video Deposition Transcript of Doyle C. Weeks, Avocent Redmond Corp. v. Raritan Computer, Inc., Case No. 01 CV 4435 (PKC), In the United States District Court Southern District of New York, March 15, 2005. See e.g., pages 1-7, 97-100, 117-120, 127-131, 153-164 and 225-226 cited by Raritan.	Ü
	LLR		
	MMR	,	
	NNR		
	oor		
	PPR		
	QQR		

Examiner	2.011	Date Considered	9/4/08
Signature	0. 011		(/ - /

^{*} Examiner: Initial reference if considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP § 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Please include copy of this form with next communication to Applicant.